1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3	
4	UNITED STATES,) Case No. 2:14-cr-00287-KJD-CWH
5	Plaintiff,)
6	v.)
7	ANDREW GIBSON,) ORDER
8	Defendant.
9	
10	Presently before the Court is Defendant Andrew Gibson's motion to reconsider (ECF No.
11	140), filed on January 18, 2017. The government has not filed a response.
12	Defendant requests that the Court reconsider its order (ECF No. 135) denying Defendant's
13	motion (ECF No. 100) for prescription medication. Under Local Rule 59-1(b), motions for
14	reconsideration are disfavored, and may not repeat previous arguments except as necessary. Local
15	Rule 59-1(a) provides that reconsideration may be appropriate if (1) there is newly discovered
16	evidence that was not available when the original motion was filed, (2) the court committed clear
17	error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in
18	controlling law.
19	Defendant does not provide any previously unavailable facts or suggest any change in
20	controlling law. Construed liberally, Defendant asserts that the denial of his motion would constitut
21	a manifest injustice. However, the motion to reconsider merely recapitulates arguments that the
22	Court rejected in Defendant's prior motion. The Court therefore finds no grounds to reconsider its
23	decision.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	1

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's motion to reconsider (ECF No. 140) is DENIED. DATED: January 25, 2017. C.W. Hoffman, Jr. United States Magistrate Judge

Case 2:14-cr-00287-KJD-CWH Document 143 Filed 01/25/17 Page 2 of 2